

Phase 1
Step 5

CALFED BAY-DELTA PROGRAM

Office Memorandum

Date: November 30, 1995
To: Steve
From: Victor *VMP*
Subject: Review of Alternatives and Performance Measures

Attached are copies of comments received from the Program Team regarding the subject items. My general comments on these materials follow.

ALTERNATIVES

I do not believe the alternatives are consistent in addressing each conflict. For example Alt. 1 does not include actions that directly address the conflicts other than Fisheries vs. Diversions. Although some actions may indirectly help to resolve the other conflicts, I believe a minimum alternative must still provide some equity in resolving each conflict.

I did not understand why actions to reduce diversion demands were not appropriate. (See page 1-2, 3rd Paragraph) They should be viable regardless of involvement by CALFED or its member agencies.

The process for inclusion of specific actions needs to be more consistent. Perhaps an improved description of the detailed process by the consultants would help.

Another comment relayed to me from Rick B. is as follows:

You can vary min/max by extent of habitat rather than including or not including an action. For example, Protection of riparian habitat currently in public holding could be a minimum, whereas protecting all habitat could be used for a maximum.

Specific comments on several alternatives have been forwarded to Ron Ott.